

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/608,804	06/30/2003	Nobuko Yamamoto	03500.015716.1	2559	
5514 FTZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			BAUSCH, SARAE L		
NEW YORK, NY 10112		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1634		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/27/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application/Control Number: 10/608,804 Page 2

Art Unit: 1634

CONTINUATION

 The remarks filed on 07/01/2009 in response to the final office action mailed 04/01/2009 have been thoroughly considered but were not found persuasive and the final office action mailed 04/01/2009 is maintained.

2. The response traverses the rejection of Okamoto. The response asserts that Okamoto only places one sample in each well and that a well does not represent a square section as presently claimed in which spots of different samples are sufficiently spaced to conduct a complex forming reaction in each spot. The response asserts that even if each well is a spot Okamoto does not disclose arranging the wells into square sections so that one type of oligonucleotides is present at a uniform surface density in each such square section and spotting samples as presently claimed. This response has been thoroughly reviewed but not found persuasive. Okamoto teaches preparing a substrate containing three different probes by bubble jet printing (see column 2 lines 36-60) and teaches a substrate with square sections and individual spots to define a matrix (see column 14 lines 1-7). Specifically Okamoto exemplifies an array that has probes spotted in 4 areas on the glass plat in a 3x3mm section followed by hybridization of test DNA, thus Okamoto teaches square sections on the probe array (see also figure 5-6). Additionally, Okamoto teaches two samples being spotted on the array as Okamoto teaches that when a base sequence may be present in a test sample that each sample is supplied to each spot of the probe array to allow hybridization between the target nucleic acid and probe which enables detection of the presence of the target substance in the sample (see column 8 lines 27-62). Thus Okamoto teaches square sections with spots that contain different samples, as Okamoto teaches preparing the substrate with different probes and teaches hybridization of

Application/Control Number: 10/608,804

Art Unit: 1634

different samples to the array. Additionally Okamoto teaches uniform probe density on the solid

Page 3

support (see column 4 lines 25-33). Furthermore, each row or each column can be considered

square sections.

3. The response traverses the rejection of Rava on page 5-6 of the remarks. The response

asserts that it is pointless in Rava to place plural samples in a single well so that plural spots are

spaced from each other and Rava states that when multiple samples are introduced into a single

well produce a positive result for a particular characteristic, identification of the sample requires

that the assay be rerun with only a single sample per well. This response has been thoroughly

reviewed but not found persuasive. It appears as though applicant is traversing the rejection

because Rava does not teach each square section sufficiently spaced from each other to conduct a

complex forming reaction and that Rava does not teach a uniform layer of probe molecules.

, ,

Initially, it is noted that the claims merely require that a complex forming reaction occurs and thus although Rava may repeat an assay with a single sample, Rava does demonstrate that a

complex formation does occur between the sample and the oligonucleotide, which anticipates the

claimed invention. Furthermore, Rava teaches spotting different test samples in each square

section as Rava teaches applying different test samples to a row or column, thus the row or

column is a different square sections with different test samples that are spotted sufficiently apart

to detect a complex formation (see column 8 lines 60-67 and column 9 lines 1-5).

4. The response traverses the rejection of Southern and Brown and asserts that Brown and

Southern does not cure the deficiencies of Okamoto and Rava. This response has been

thoroughly reviewed but not found persuasive. Southern nor Brown were not cited to

Application/Control Number: 10/608,804 Page 4

Art Unit: 1634

demonstrate two test samples spotted in separate individual spots in square sections. Okamoto and Rava teach this limitation of the claims as address in section 2-3 above.

For these reasons and the reasons of record the rejections of record are maintained.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Sarae Bausch whose telephone number is (571) 272-2912. The

examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, James (Doug) Schultz can be reached on (571) 272-0763. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application

or proceeding should be directed to (571) 272-0547.

Patent applicants with problems or questions regarding electronic images that can be viewed in the Patent Application Information Retrieval system (PAR) ea now contact the USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center (Patent EIC) for assistance. Representatives are available to answer your questions daily from 6 and to midnight (EST). The toll free number is (866) 217-9197. When calling please have your application serial or patent number, to keype of document you are having an image problem with, the number to pages and the specific nature of the problem. The Patent Electronic Business Center will notify applicants of the resolution of the problem within 5-7 business days. Applicants can also check PAR to confirm that the problem has been corrected. The USPTO's PAIR relaterable Susiness Center is a complete service center supporting all patent business on the Internet. The USPTO's PAIR system provides Instruct-based access to patent application status and history information. It also enables applicants to view the scanned images of their own application file folder(s) as well as general patent

For all other customer support, please call the USPTO Call Center (UCC) at 800-786-9199.

/Sarae Bausch/

information available to the public.

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1634